IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FRANKLIN COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO ex rel.)
ATTORNEY GENERAL)
DAVE YOST) Case No:
30 E. Broad St., 14 th Floor)
Columbus, Ohio 43215)
) Judge:
Plaintiff,)
)
V.)
) COMPLAINT AND REQUEST
) FOR DECLARATORY
Affordable Car Cure, Inc.) JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE
1300 Old Congress Rd.) RELIEF, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND
West Palm Beach, FL 33409) OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF
)
Defendant.)

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Plaintiff, State of Ohio, by and through its counsel, the Attorney General of Ohio, Dave Yost, having reasonable cause to believe that violations of Ohio's consumer protection laws have occurred, brings this action in the public interest and on behalf of the State of Ohio under the authority vested in him by R.C. 4719.01 *et seq.* and R.C. 1345.01 *et seq.*
- 2. The actions hereinafter described of Defendant Affordable Car Cure, Inc. ("Defendant"), have occurred in Franklin County and other counties in the State of Ohio and, as set forth herein, are in violation of the Telephone Solicitation Sales Act ("TSSA"), R.C. 4719.01 *et seq.* and the Consumer Sales Practices Act ("CSPA"), R.C. 1345.01, *et seq.*, and its Substantive Rules, O.A.C. 109:4-3-01 *et seq.*
- Jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action lies with this Court pursuant to R.C.
 4719.12 of the TSSA and R.C. 1345.04 of the CSPA.

4. This Court has venue to hear this case pursuant to Ohio Civ. R. 3(C)(3) in that Defendant solicited Franklin County residents to purchase goods and services.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 5. Defendant Affordable Car Cure, Inc. is a Wyoming corporation formed in 2020, with its principal place of business at 1300 Old Congress Rd West Palm Beach, Florida 33409.
- 6. Defendant marketed and sold, via telephone, the goods and services of third parties, including motor vehicle service contracts, to consumers for a fee.
- 7. In marketing and selling the goods and services to consumers, Defendant engaged in telephone solicitations by initiating outbound telephone calls to residential and/or cellular telephone numbers of Ohio residents to represent the price and availability of goods and services and to induce the persons to make purchases.
- 8. Defendant is a "telephone solicitor," as that term is defined in R.C. 4719.01(A)(8), as it was, at all times relevant herein, engaged in telephone solicitation to persons in Ohio.
- 9. Defendant is a "supplier," as that term is defined in R.C. 1345.01(C), as it was, at all times relevant herein, engaged in the business of effecting and soliciting "consumer transactions" for purposes that are primarily personal, family, or household within the meaning specified in R.C. 1345.01(A).
- 10. Defendant has never obtained a certificate of registration to be a telephone solicitor from the Ohio Attorney General's Office.
- 11. Defendant has never obtained and filed a copy of a surety bond with the Ohio Attorney General's Office, in connection with being a telephone solicitor.

- 12. In connection with Defendant's telephone solicitation activities, Defendant made or submitted charges to consumer purchasers' bank or credit card accounts for purchases without having first received from the purchasers the original copies of signed, written confirmations containing all of the following information printed in at least a 10 point font and in a color clearly contrasting with all background: (a) Defendant's name; the number of a certificate of registration issued under R.C. 4719.03; (b) Defendant's address and phone contact information; (c) an itemized list of all prices and fees; (d) the date of the transaction; (e) a detailed description of the goods or services sold; (f) all material terms and conditions of Defendant's policies for making refunds, cancellations, exchanges, or purchases; and (g) the statement set forth in R.C. 4719.07(F)(10).
- 13. In connection with Defendant's telephone solicitations, Defendant did not provide to consumer purchasers two copies of a written notice of cancellation containing all of the following information printed in at least a 10 point font and in a color clearly contrasting with all background: (a) Defendant's name; the number of a certificate of registration issued under R.C. 4719.03; (b) Defendant's address and phone contact information; (c) an itemized list of all prices and fees; (d) the date of the transaction; (e) a detailed description of the goods or services sold; and (f) the statement set forth in R.C. 4719.07(H)(4)(g).
- 14. Plaintiff sent notice of the alleged violations to Defendant on February 25, 2021 via UPS overnight mail to its business address, directed to the attention of Gustav Renny and Jack G. Smith, Defendant's owners.
- 15. As of the date of this filing, Defendant has not responded to this notice.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATIONS OF THE TSSA

COUNT I – FAILURE TO REGISTER

- 16. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as if completely rewritten herein, the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 of this Complaint.
- 17. Defendant violated the TSSA, R.C. 4719.02(A), by acting as a telephone solicitor without first obtaining a certificate of registration from the Ohio Attorney General.

COUNT II – FAILURE TO POST A BOND

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as if completely rewritten herein, the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 of this Complaint.
- 19. Defendant violated the TSSA, R.C. 4719.04(A), by acting as a telephone solicitor without first obtaining and filing with the Ohio Attorney General's Office a copy of a surety bond that complies with R.C. 4719.04(A)(1) through (4).

COUNT III – FAILURE TO PROVIDE CONFIRMATION OF SALE OR NOTICE OF CANCELLATION

- 20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as if completely rewritten herein, the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 of this Complaint.
- 21. Defendant violated the TSSA, R.C. 4719.07(C), by submitting charges to consumer purchasers' bank or credit card accounts without first obtaining from them original copies of signed, written confirmations complying with R.C. 4719.07(F) and (G), or meeting the requirements under R.C. 4719.07(H) for being exempt from doing so.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATIONS OF THE CSPA

COUNT I – FAILURE TO REGISTER

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as if completely rewritten herein, the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 of this Complaint.
- 23. Defendant committed unfair or deceptive acts and practices in violation of the CSPA, R.C. 1345.02(A), by acting as a telephone solicitor without first obtaining a certificate of registration from the Ohio Attorney General.
- 24. The acts or practices described above have been previously determined by an Ohio court to violate the CSPA, R.C. 1345.01 *et seq*. Defendant committed said violations after such decision was available for public inspection pursuant to R.C. 1345.05(A)(3).

COUNT II – FAILURE TO PROVIDE CONFIRMATION OF SALE OR NOTICE OF CANCELLATION

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as if completely rewritten herein, the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 of this Complaint.
- 26. Defendant committed unfair or deceptive acts and practices in violation of the CSPA, R.C. 1345.02(A), by submitting charges to consumer purchasers' bank or credit card accounts without first obtaining from them original copies of signed, written confirmations complying with R.C. 4719.07(F) and (G), or meeting the requirements under R.C. 4719.07(H) for being exempt from doing so.
- 27. The acts or practices described above have been previously determined by an Ohio court to violate the CSPA, R.C. 1345.01 *et seq*. Defendant committed said violations after such decision was available for public inspection pursuant to R.C. 1345.05(A)(3).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- A. ISSUE A DECLARATORY JUDGMENT that each act or practice complained of herein violates the TSSA and the CSPA, in the manner set forth in the Complaint.
- B. ISSUE A PERMANENT INJUNCTION enjoining Defendant, doing business under its own name or any other names, together with its officers, partners, agents, representatives, salespersons, employees, successors or assigns, and all persons acting in concert and participation with it directly or indirectly through any corporate device, partnership or association, from engaging in the acts and practices of which Plaintiff complains and from further violating the TSSA or the CSPA.
- C. ORDER Defendant, pursuant to R.C. 4719.12(A), to reimburse all purchasers for any loss that resulted from the conduct of Defendant as set forth in this Complaint.
- D. ASSESS, FINE and IMPOSE upon Defendant a civil penalty, pursuant to R.C. 4719.12(B), in an amount not less than \$1,000.00 nor more than \$25,000.00 for each separate violation of the TSSA described in Counts I and III of Plaintiff's First Cause of Action.
- E. ASSESS, FINE and IMPOSE upon Defendant a civil penalty of up to \$25,000.00 for each separate and appropriate violation of the CSPA described herein pursuant to R.C. 1345.07(D).
- F. GRANT Plaintiff its expenses and attorney's fees incurred in the prosecution of this action, including but not limited to, investigation expenses and the cost of collecting on any judgment awarded.
- G. ORDER Defendant to pay all court costs associated with this matter.

- H. ISSUE AN ORDER ENJOINING Defendant from engaging in consumer transactions as a supplier or telephone solicitor in the State of Ohio until it has satisfied all monetary amounts ordered to be paid in this action.
- I. GRANT such other relief as this Court deems to be just, equitable, and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVE YOST Attorney General

Christopher Ramdeen (0095623)

Assistant Attorney General 30 East Broad Street, 14th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3400

Phone: (614) 995-1577

Christopher.Ramdeen@OhioAGO.gov Counsel for Plaintiff, State of Ohio